MULTICORE PROGRAMMING

Implementing KCAS and reclaiming descriptors

Lecture 12

Trevor Brown

LAST TIME

Implementing double-compare-single-swap

- Using <u>descriptors</u> and helping to guarantee lock-free progress
- Started implementing k-word compare-and-swap

THIS TIME

- Finishing the implementation of KCAS
- Reclaiming memory for DCSS and KCAS
 - How to **use** epoch-based memory reclamation
- On the slides (but not in the lecture):
 - Quick intro to some debugging/perf tools

INTUITION: HOW A <u>SUCCESSFUL</u> KCAS WORKS: DOUBLY-LINKED LIST AS AN EXAMPLE



INTUITION: HOW A FAILED KCAS WORKS: DOUBLY-LINKED LIST AS AN EXAMPLE



KEEPING <u>HELPER</u> THREADS IN SYNC

- Key ideas:
- In phase 1 (lock-free "locking"),

helpers compete to CAS the status from Undecided to Succeeded or Failed

- Only one helper can "win" and change status
- Once the status is Succeeded or Failed, no more lock-free "locking" should happen
- I.e., helpers should **no longer** change addresses to point to the KCAS descriptor
- Accomplish this with DCSS!
- In phase 2 (completion), all helpers agree (based on the status) to change all addresses to new values, or back to their old values (exp₁...exp_k)

USING DCSS IN THE "LOCKING" PHASE

- Threads use **DCSS** to "lock" addresses (storing a pointer to a KCAS descriptor)
 - DCSS addr1 = status field of the KCAS descriptor
 - DCSS expl = Undecided
 - DCSS addr2 = address to be "locked" for the KCAS (from KCAS arguments)
 - DCSS exp2 = expected value for that address
 - DCSS new2 = pointer to the KCAS descriptor
- Semantics of DCSS guarantee:
 - KCAS will successfully "lock" an address <u>only</u> if the KCAS status is still Undecided
 - Without this guarantee, something called an *ABA problem* can occur. (discussion...)

(from KCAS arguments)

DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN DESCRIPTORS

- Now that we have DCSS descriptors and KCAS descriptors, we must be able to distinguish between them
- Steal another bit from each word (DCSS uses the least significant bit, KCAS uses 2nd-least significant)
- The two least significant bits tell us whether an address contains a value, DCSS descriptor, or KCAS descriptor
 - pack(d): return d | 1 [making it "look like" a DCSS descriptor pointer]
 packKCAS(d): return d | 2 [making it "look like" a KCAS descriptor pointer]
 unpack(d): return d & ~3 [zeroing out the bottom two bits]

IMPLEMENTATION

Data structures	Code			
<pre>struct KCAS_desc {</pre>	<pre>bool KCAS(addr1,, exp1,, new1,)</pre>			
<pre>atomic<word_t> status; word_t n; KCAS_row row[K]; char padding[24]; }attribute ((aligned(64)));</word_t></pre>	<pre>1 KCAS_desc * d = new KCAS_desc(addr1,); 2 d->status = Undecided; 3 SortRowsByAddress(d); // to avoid livelock 4 return KCASHelp(d);</pre>			
<pre>struct KCAS_row {</pre>	5 word t v;			
<pre>atomic<word_t> * addr; word_t exp; word_t new; };</word_t></pre>	<pre>6 do { 7 v = DCSSRead(addr); 8 if (isKCAS(v)) KCASHelp(unpack(v)); 9 } while (isKCAS(v)); 10 return v;</pre>			
DCSSRead(addr)				

		bool	l KCASHelp(KCAS_desc * d)	II. DCCC to show so
	Г	11	<pre>int newStatus = Succeeded; if (d=Status == Undecided)</pre>	addresses to point to
		13	$\int for (int i = 0; i < d > n; i++)$	the KCAS descriptor
Phase 1: lock-free "locking"		14	word t val2 = DCSS(&d->status, d->row[i].addr,	
			Undecided, d->row[i].exp,	
			packKCAS(d));	
	18 S	15	<pre> if (val2 != d->row[i].exp) // if DCSS failed</pre>	
	J	16	<pre> if (isKCAS(val2)) // because of a KCA</pre>	S
		17	<pre> if (unpack(val2) != d) // a DIFFERENT KCAS</pre>	
		18	KCASHelp(unpack(val2));	
		19	i; continue; // retry "locking" this add	r l
		20	// else another helper "locked" for us	
		21	else // addr does not contain its exp value	
Status	L	22	newStatus = Failed; break;	
CAS		23	<pre> CAS(&d->status, Undecided, newStatus);</pre>	
	Γ	24	<pre>bool succ = (d->status == Succeeded);</pre>	
Phase 2:		25	for (int i = 0; i < d->n; i++)	
completion	ן	26	<pre>val = (succ) ? d->row[i].new : d->row[i].exp;</pre>	
compression		27	<pre>CAS(d->row[i].addr, packKCAS(d), val);</pre>	
		28	return succ;	

bool KCASHelp(KCAS desc * d)

```
11
    int newStatus = Undecided;
12
    if (d->status == Undecided)
      for (int i = 0; i < d > n; i++)
13
        word t val2 = DCSS(\&d->status, d->row[i].addr,
14
                           Undecided, d->row[i].exp,
                                       packKCAS(d));
                                    // if DCSS failed
15
        if (val2 != d->row[i].exp)
                                    // because of a KCAS
16
          if (isKCAS(val2))
            if (unpack(val2) != d) // a DIFFERENT KCAS
17
18
              KCASHelp(unpack(val2));
              --i; continue; // retry "locking" this addr
19
            // else another helper "locked" for us
20
21
          else // addr does not contain its exp value
22
            newStatus = Failed; break;
23
     CAS(&d->status, Undecided, newStatus);
    bool succ = (d->status == Succeeded);
24
25
    for (int i = 0; i < d > n; i++)
26
    val = (succ) ? d->row[i].new : d->row[i].exp;
27
     CAS(d->row[i].addr, packKCAS(d), val);
28
    return succ;
```

Recall: KCAS just returns KCASHelp(d)

Where should we linearize a successful KCAS?

At the status CAS! The behaviour of all helper threads, and hence, the outcome of the KCAS, is decided there. (Crucial points: (1) everything is "locked" at that time, and (2) no thread can see the "old" values after that time.)

Why does this work? Complicated argument! Model checking + proof sketch in paper. Deeper than we need to go.

RECLAIMING DESCRIPTORS

LIFECYCLE OF A NODE



EPOCH BASED RECLAMATION (EBR)

- EBR is a relatively low-overhead blocking solution to the safe memory reclamation problem
- Consider a data structure composed of **records** (e.g., descriptors) that should be reclaimed
- Suppose threads <u>do not</u> remember pointers to records found in one operation, and then use them in another subsequent operation
 - Instead, when starting a new operation, a thread "forgets" all pointers to records, and can <u>only</u> access a record by starting at some address in shared memory that is <u>not</u> part of a record, and following pointers from there.)
- EBR interface:
 - startOp()
 - Must be invoked at the beginning of each operation <u>before</u> accessing any shared records (i.e., records that have previously been made accessible to other threads)
 - retire(rec)
 - Should be invoked once rec is **no longer reachable** from shared memory
 - <u>**Can</u>** still be reachable from threads' local memories, however... this is fine!</u>
 - Unlike free(), retire will **delay reclamation** until **<u>no thread</u>** has a pointer to node

How is EBR implemented? Will see later... Let's see how to <u>use it</u>.

USING EBR IN DCSS

DCSS_desc is our "record" type

Do we always access a DCSS_desc by following pointers starting from an address that is <u>not</u> part of a DCSS_desc?

Yes! Any DCSS_desc that we access is found by reading an address passed to DCSS/DCSSRead (and this address cannot be part of a DCSS_desc)



<u>USING</u> EBR IN KCAS

KCAS_desc is our "record" type

Do we always access a KCAS_desc by following pointers starting from an address that is <u>not</u> part of a KCAS_desc?

Yes! Any KCAS_desc that we access is found by reading an address passed to KCAS/KCASRead (and this address cannot be part of a KCAS_desc)

No need to worry about DCSS_desc records, as those are completely encapsulated in DCSS (black box)



Note: from the perspective of the KCAS algorithm, the DCSS object is a black box. Reclamation of *DCSS descriptors* is **hidden** in the implementation of DCSS. (Conceptually, there are **two instances of the EBR algorithm**: one for DCSS, one for KCAS)

TOOLS FOR DEBUGGING AND PERFORMANCE

- Debugging and optimizing concurrent programs is **very** hard. Tools can help!
- Debugging
 - GNU Debugger (GDB)
 - Segfaults, infinite loops
 - Address Sanitizer (ASan)
 - Segfaults, memory leaks
 - 1~2x slowdown
 - Valgrind
 - Segfaults, memory leaks, memory access errors
 - many-x slowdown
 - Graphviz
 - **Visualizing** pointer based data structures

• Performance

- Linux Perftools (perf)
 - Studying cycles, cache misses, instructions, stalled cycles
 - At the whole-application level
- C/C++ Performance API (PAPI)
 - Precise information from perf, but recorded **within** your program
- VTune Amplifier
 - Powerful (and now free!) profiler

A lot of errors in concurrent programs manifest as memory access errors! For example, a thread may write a bad value into a pointer because of a concurrency bug, and another thread may then read it.

DEBUGGING TOOLS

USING VALGRIND TO FIND MEMORY ACCESS ERRORS

```
$ valgrind --fair-sched=yes ./alcode segfault/workload timed.out 4 1000 naive
==107893== Command: ./alcode segfault/workload timed.out 4 1000 naive
==107893==
==107893== Use of uninitialised value of size 8
==107893==
             at 0x510F0D4: std::thread::join() (in /.../x86 64-linux-gnu/libstdc++.s
             by 0x1092DC: void runExperiment<CounterNaive>(...) (workload timed.cpp:46)
==107893==
             by 0x108E3B: main (workload timed.cpp:70)
==107893==
==107893==
==107893== Invalid read of size 8
==107893==
             at 0x510F0D4: std::thread::join() (in /.../x86 64-linux-gnu/libstdc++.so.6.0.22)
             by 0x1092DC: void runExperiment<CounterNaive>(...) (workload timed.cpp:46)
==107893==
==107893=
             by 0x108E3B: main (workload timed.cpp:70)
==107893== Address 0x190 is not stack'd, malloc'd or (recently) free'd
. . .
```

Using Address Sanitizer to check for memory leaks

SUMMARY: AddressSanitizer: 192 byte(s) leaked in 24 allocation(s).

GRAPHVIZ: WHEN YOU JUST NEED TO SED IT



SANITY CHECKING: EXPERIMENT CHECKSUMS

- Important to perform sanity checks wherever you can!
 - Helps to catch obvious (and non-obvious) mistakes
- One good sanity check: checksum based validation
 - Reduce the data structure to a number (a data structure checksum)
 - Reduce each threads' completed operations to a number (a thread checksum)
 - verify that thread checksums "match" the data structure checksum
 - (I.e., the work the threads **think** they've done is reflected **in the data structure**!)
- Creativity needed to come up with good checksum functions

PERFORMANCE TOOLS

Investigating cache misses with Linux Perftools: perf <u>record</u>

\$ perf record -e cache-misses ./ex4_counting_events_counter4.out 24
matrix created: 0.00s
randomize call finished: 0.03s

```
number of additions = 1000000000
[ perf record: Woken up 1 times to write data ]
[ perf record: Captured and wrote 0.802 MB perf.data (20591 samples) ]
```

\$ perf report

Investigating performance with Linux Perftools: perf <u>report</u>

Samples:	20K of event 'cac	he-misses', Event coun	t (approx.): 543388
<u>Overhead</u>	Command	Shared Object	Symbol
22.51%	ex4_counting_ev	ex4_counting_[].out	[.] _ZN6matrix8multiplyE
5.64%	ex4_counting_ev	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k] decay_load
5.07%	ex4_counting_ev	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k] native_sched_clock
5.02%	ex4_counting_ev	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k]update_load_avg_se
4.21%	ex4_counting_ev	[kernel.kallsyms]	[k] perf_event_alloc.par
3.75%	ex4_counting_ev	[kernel.kallsyms	[k] _raw_spin_lock
3.73%	ex4_counting_ev	[kernel.kallsyms	[k] cgroup_rstat_updated
3.34%	ex4_counting_ev	[kernel.kallsym	[k] task_tick_fair
	[]		

Interactive console... select this line and press [ENTER] twice...

Percent		xor	%ebp,%ebp		
		nop			
	for (int $k=0; k < w; ++k$) {				
	80:	test	%edx,%edx		
	ļ	, jle	c9		
		lea	0x0(,%rbp,4),%rsi		
		xor	%eax,%eax		
		xchg	%ax,%ax		
			ret->data[y][x] += data[y][k] * o->data[k][x];		
	90:	mov	(%rbx),%rdx		
		mov	(%r11),%r10		
0.07		mov	(%rdx,%rdi,1),%rcx		
0.11		mov	(%r8),%rdx		
		mov	(%r10,%rax,8),%r10		
		mov	(%rdx,%rdi,1),%rdx		
0.10		add	%rsi,%rcx		
0.14		mov	(%rdx, %rax, 4), %edx In reality it's this line, where we fetch &		
0.01		imul	(%r10, %rsi, 1), %edx add a subcounter in a sharded counter		
	1	add	%edx, (%rcx) that suffers from false sharing		
0.11		lock	addl \$0x1, (%r9)		
			for (int k=0; k < w; ++k) {		
99.21		mov	Oxc (%r8), %edx Perf claims cache misses are at		
0.01		Lea	0x1 (%rax), %ecx this line, but the real culprit can be		
0.13		add	sux1, srax off by a few lines		
0.09		cmp	secx, sedx		

C/C++ LIBRARY: PAPI

- <u>https://icl.utk.edu/papi/</u>
- Gives access to most of the same stuff as perf stat/record/report, but programmatically inside your own code
- Can **always** include some measurements in your runs
 - Fast --- no real overhead on Intel for ~2-4 performance monitoring counters
 - Can easily measure only part of your execution (skip measuring setup/teardown)
 - Can present results in a nice format (e.g., L3 cache misses PER data structure operation)

total throughput : 94906203
PAPI_L1_DCM=36.4196
PAPI_L2_TCM=24.8331
PAPI_L3_TCM=11.8196
PAPI_TOT_CYC=5515.5

WHEN YOU NEED A REAL PROFILER: VTUNE

- Surprisingly, Intel's VTune is free. Even for commercial use!
- Great profiler for seeing what threads are doing throughout your execution
- Surprisingly easy to learn and use, even in complex scenarios...
 - Profiling code that runs locally is trivial
 - Profiling code that runs remotely:
 - ~6 hours invested to learn the idiosyncrasies of VTune + remote execution

Advanced Hotspots Hotspots viewpoint (change) 2 INTEL VTUNE AMPLIFIER 2018							
💷 Collection Log 🕘 Analysis Target	Å Analysis Type 👔 Summary 🗟 Bottom	-up 😚 Caller/Cal	llee 🔞 T	op-down T	ree 🛛 🔀 Platfo	rm D	
Grouping: Function / Call Stack						(Q %)	
	CPU Time V			Context Switch Time «		Context : ^	
Function / Call Stack	Effective Time by Utilization	Spin Time Ove	rhead \	Wait Time	Inactive Time	Preempt	
▼ updateBusinessAccount	7.915s	0s	0s	0s	0.055s	ę	
main\$omp\$parallel_for@269	7.915s –	0s	0s	0s	0.055s	\$	
kmp_invoke_microtask	7.915s	0s	Os	0s	0.042s	8	
▶ K updateBusinessAccount ← main	Os	Os	0s	0s	0.013s		
updateCustomerAccount	7.766s	0s	0s	0s	0.052s	1,1	
_kmpc_atomic_fixed8_add	2.772s	Os	0s				
_kmpc_critical	0s	2.021s	0s	0s	0.014s	2	
< · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<	-	^	^	0.000	>	
Q*Q+Q-Q* 5.55 5.65 5.75 5.85 5.95 5.9945 6.15 6.25 6.35 6.45 Ruler Area							
FILTER 🍸 100.0% 🦕 🛛 Any	Proce 🗸 🛛 Any Thread 🗸 🖌 Any Modu 🗸	Any Uti 🗸 🛛	User functi	o v Sho	ow inlir 🗸 🛛 F	unctions 🗸	